Goal 4: Increase percentage of parents reporting that they have been informed regarding their child's progress and feel welcome at school.

Performance	School Name	2012-13	2013-14
Zone 2014-15	School Name	2012-15	2015-14
	A dama CC	02.60/	02.40/
7	Adams ES	92.6%	93.1%
15	Adcock ES	•	89.8%
6	Adv. Tech HS	84.6%	88.9%
11	AIS JR/SR Alamo ES	•	87.7%
11		84.9%	90.9%
4	Allen ES	86.3%	90.3%
2	Antonello ES	89.3%	81.4%
1	Arbor View HS	78.4%	87.7%
9	Bailey ES	*	86.9%
14	Bailey MS		86.2%
10	Bartlett ES	89.5%	88.9%
9	Basic HS	77.3%	81.7%
11	Bass ES	92.7%	86.2%
12	Batterman ES	82.1%	93.1%
10	Beatty ES	71.2%	
3	Becker MS	86.7%	89.9%
8	Beckley ES	91.1%	90.1%
15	Bell ES	93.3%	94.4%
12	Bendorf ES		79.6%
16	Bennett ES	88.1%	90.8%
1	Bilbray ES	87.4% *	92.1%
ESD	Biltmore Cont HS	*	*
11	Blue Diamond ES		
15	Bonanza HS	78.6%	87.9%
2	Bonner ES	91.2%	90.4%
P6	Booker ES	90.2%	93.0%
9	Boulder City HS	83.1%	76.8%
16	Bowler, Grant ES	*	84.7%
16	Bowler, Joseph ES	*	*
1	Bozarth ES	87.4%	84.8%
10	Bracken ES	94.9%	95.9%
15	Bridger MS	87.7%	93.2%
3	Brinley MS	92.1%	90.0%
6	Brookman ES	87.8%	88.8%

[&]quot;*" Indicates data not presented for groups fewer than 10.
This data is suppressed due to FERPA regulations.

Goal 4: Increase percentage of parents reporting that they have been informed regarding their child's progress and feel welcome at school.

Performance			
Zone 2014-15	School Name	2012-13	2013-14
9	Brown JHS	83.6%	90.8%
4	Bruner ES	*	*
3	Bryan, Richard ES	91.1%	86.6%
12	Bryan, Roger ES	92.0%	90.8%
3	Bunker ES	*	*
ESD	Burk Horizon SW HS	*	*
9	Burkholder JHS	90.1%	88.7%
1	Cadwallader MS	83.1%	76.0%
4	Cahlan ES	80.6%	91.3%
5	Cambeiro ES	91.2%	94.0%
11	Canarelli MS	86.5%	89.9%
8	Cannon JHS	79.3%	83.6%
14	Canyon Springs HS	69.8%	79.4%
1	Carl ES	80.7%	87.3%
P6	Carson ES	93.7%	89.8%
11	Cartwright ES	*	76.9%
15	Cashman MS	90.9%	89.5%
4	Centennial HS	77.0%	89.5%
14	Chaparral HS	74.5%	84.0%
4	Cheyenne HS	85.1%	82.9%
12	Christensen ES	95.4%	86.6%
14	Cimarron-Memorial HS	77.9%	86.1%
15	Clark HS	84.8%	85.3%
4	Conners ES	*	74.7%
13	Coronado HS	79.3%	85.8%
5	Cortez ES	93.0%	92.9%
9	Cortney JHS	69.2%	88.4%
ESD	Cowan Beh JR/SR	*	*
ESD	Cowan Sunset SE HS	*	*
4	Cox, Clyde ES	96.4%	92.2%
10	Cox, David ES	88.6%	94.4%
2	Cozine ES	*	88.7%
5	Craig ES	*	*
2	Cram MS	87.3%	80.7%

[&]quot;*" Indicates data not presented for groups fewer than 10.
This data is suppressed due to FERPA regulations.

Goal 4: Increase percentage of parents reporting that they have been informed regarding their child's progress and feel welcome at school.

Performance Zone 2014-15	School Name	2012-13	2013-14
8	Crestwood ES	*	*
13	CSN East HS	*	*
13	CSN South HS	*	*
13	CSN West HS	*	*
3	Culley ES	*	90.0%
7	Cunningham ES	*	92.3%
8	Dailey ES	95.2%	93.1%
1	Darnell ES	*	87.8%
7	Dearing ES	95.0%	86.1%
12	Decker ES	92.1%	93.7%
8	Del Sol HS	87.8%	85.2%
15	Derfelt ES	*	89.0%
11	Desert Oasis HS	75.0%	74.1%
15	Desert Pines HS	*	76.5%
ESD	Desert Rose HS	*	*
SSD	Desert Willow JR/SR	*	*
4	Deskin ES	85.3%	92.1%
5	Detwiler ES	93.3%	*
5	Diaz ES	88.7%	83.4%
2	Dickens ES	*	*
12	Diskin ES	92.1%	95.0%
12	Dondero ES	*	86.0%
9	Dooley ES	90.6%	79.8%
2	Duncan ES	*	*
12	Durango HS	81.6%	85.5%
5	Earl, Ira ES	*	91.8%
12	Earl, Marion ES	*	90.8%
	Early Childhood ES	*	*
6	East CTA HS	85.7%	91.1%
6	Edwards ES	96.5%	*
3	Eisenberg ES	92.9%	85.5%
6	Eldorado HS	*	86.4%
6	Eldorado Prep		*
4	Elizondo ES	*	91.1%

[&]quot;*" Indicates data not presented for groups fewer than 10.
This data is suppressed due to FERPA regulations.

Goal 4: Increase percentage of parents reporting that they have been informed regarding their child's progress and feel welcome at school.

Performance Zone 2014-15	School Name	2012-13	2013-14
	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	70.60/	76.00/
1	Escobedo MS	78.6%	76.0%
11	Faiss MS	77.4%	85.7%
7	Ferron ES		89.0%
12	Fertitta MS	83.8%	87.8%
2	Findlay MS	79.2%	91.6%
11	Fine ES	91.3%	85.8% *
P6	Fitzgerald ES	97.4%	
3	Fong ES	89.0%	90.5%
13	Foothill HS	78.8%	84.8%
11	Forbuss ES	83.7%	91.1%
8	Fremont MS	*	85.0%
8	French ES		90.6%
11	Frias ES	84.4%	*
8	Fyfe ES	*	80.8%
13	Galloway ES		*
3	Garehime ES	87.3%	88.5%
9	Garrett MS	86.9%	87.4%
15	Garside JHS	74.3%	84.9%
11	Gehring ES	88.2%	90.1%
10	Gibson ES	79.1%	90.6%
8	Gibson MS	90.2%	89.0%
P6	Gilbert ES	94.6%	93.7%
2	Givens ES	93.0%	93.7%
ESD	Global Community HS	*	*
7	Goldfarb ES	*	94.3%
12	Goolsby ES	90.5%	91.7%
2	Goynes ES	91.9%	88.5%
6	Gragson ES	*	94.1%
15	Gray ES	*	94.8%
10	Green Valley HS	87.1%	86.7%
10	Greenspun JHS	85.4%	88.2%
15	Griffith ES	91.3%	92.0%
12	Guinn MS	*	93.3%
1	Guy ES	*	83.5%

[&]quot;*" Indicates data not presented for groups fewer than 10.
This data is suppressed due to FERPA regulations.

Goal 4: Increase percentage of parents reporting that they have been informed regarding their child's progress and feel welcome at school.

9 Harmon ES	Performance Zone 2014-15	School Name	2012-13	2013-14
7 Harney MS 84.2% 86.8% 7 Harris ES * 84.0% 2 Hayden ES 85.6% * 83.9% 2 Heard ES 88.6% 90.8% 1 Heckethorn ES 88.9% 89.1% 6 Herr ES * 79.2% 5 Herron ES 90.1% 89.8% 6 Hickey ES * 83.7% 10 Hill ES * 87.9% 9 Hinman ES * 80.1% P6 Hoggard ES 91.1% 94.2% 8 Hollingsworth ES * 91.0% 16 Hughes MS * 87.1% 11 Hummel ES * 78.3% 15 Hyde Park MS 83.6% 88.9% 16 Indian Springs ES * * 83.7% 16 Indian Springs HS * * 83.6% 88.9% 16 Indian Springs HS * * 83.6% 88.9% 17 Iverson ES 90.6% 90.7% 18 Jacobson ES 90.6% 90.7% 19 Johnson JHS 71.5% 82.9% 2 Johnston MS 72.9% 85.0% 3 Kahre ES 83.4% 91.0% 4 83.7% 4 Keller ES * 83.7% 4 Keller ES * 83.4% 91.0% 4 83.7% 4 Keller ES * 83.7%	14	Hancock ES	*	87.0%
7 Harris ES * 84.0% 2 Hayden ES * 83.6% * 12 Hayes ES * 83.9% 90.8% 2 Heard ES 88.6% 90.8% 1 Heckethorn ES 88.9% 89.1% 6 Herr ES * 79.2% 5 Herron ES * 96.1% 5 Hewetson ES 90.1% 89.8% 6 Hill ES * 87.9% 6 Hill ES * 87.9% 9 Hinman ES * 87.9% 9 Hinman ES * 87.9% 9 Hinman ES * 87.1% 9 Holingsworth ES * 91.1% 94.2% 8 Hollingsworth ES * 91.0% 88.71 16 Hughes MS * 87.1% 11 Hummel ES * 78.3% 15 Hyde Park MS 83.6% 88.9% 89.9% 89.9% 89.9% 89.9% 89.9% 89.9% 89.9% 89.9%			· ·	
7 Halfest 85.6% * 12 Hayden ES * 83.9% 2 Heard ES 88.6% 90.8% 1 Heckethorn ES 88.9% 89.1% 6 Herr ES * 79.2% 5 Herron ES * 96.1% 5 Hewetson ES 90.1% 89.8% 6 Hickey ES * 83.7% 10 Hill ES * 87.9% 9 Hinman ES * 87.9% 9 Hinman ES * 80.1% 9 Hollingsworth ES * 91.1% 94.2% 8 Hollingsworth ES * 91.0% 94.2% 8 Hollingsworth ES * 87.1% 16 Hughes MS * 87.1% 15 Hyde Park MS 83.6% 88.9% 16 Indian Springs ES * * 16 Indian Springs MS * * 7 Iverson ES 90.6% 90.7% 15 Jacobson ES		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
12 Hayes ES				
2 Heard ES 88.6% 90.8% 1 Heckethorn ES 88.9% 89.1% 6 Herr ES * 79.2% 5 Herron ES * 96.1% 5 Hewetson ES 90.1% 89.8% 6 Hickey ES * 83.7% 10 Hill ES * 87.9% 9 Hinman ES * 80.1% P6 Hoggard ES 91.1% 94.2% 8 Hollingsworth ES * 91.0% 16 Hughes MS * 87.1% 11 Hummel ES * 78.3% 15 Hyde Park MS 83.6% 88.9% 16 Indian Springs ES * * 16 Indian Springs HS * * 17 Iverson ES 90.6% 90.7% 15 Jacobson ES * 90.6% 90.7% ESD Jeffrey Behavior JR/SR * * 2 Johnston MS 72.9% 85.0% 12 Jydstrup ES 90.0% * 8.0% 3 Katz ES 83.4% 91.0% 3 Katz ES 83.4% 91.0% 7 Keller ES * 83.7%		•		
1 Heckethorn ES 88.9% 89.1% 6 Herr ES * 79.2% 5 Herron ES * 96.1% 5 Hewetson ES 90.1% 89.8% 6 Hickey ES * 83.7% 10 Hill ES * 87.9% 9 Hinman ES * 80.1% 9 Hollingsworth ES * 91.1% 94.2% 8 Hollingsworth ES * 91.0% 87.1% 94.2% 8 Hollingsworth ES * 91.0% 88.9% 99.0% 88.9% 99.0% 88.9% 99.0% 88.9% 99.0% 88.9% 89.9% 99.0% 89.9% 99.0% 89.0% 99.0% 89.0% 90.0% 89.0% 90.7% 90.0% 89.0% 90.0% 89.0% 90.0% 89.0% 90.0% 89.0% 9		·	·	
6 Herr ES * 79.2% 5 Herron ES * 96.1% 5 Hewetson ES 90.1% 89.8% 6 Hickey ES * 83.7% 10 Hill ES * 87.9% 9 Hinman ES * 80.1% 9 Hoggard ES 91.1% 94.2% 8 Hollingsworth ES * 91.0% 16 Hughes MS * 87.1% 11 Hummel ES * 78.3% 15 Hyde Park MS 83.6% 88.9% 16 Indian Springs ES * * 16 Indian Springs HS * * 7 Iverson ES 90.6% 90.7% 15 Jacobson ES * * 5 Jeffers ES 94.7% 93.0% ESD Jeffrey Behavior JR/SR * * 15 Johnson JHS 71.5% 82.9% 2 Johnston MS 72.9% 85.0% 12 Jydstrup ES 90.0%				
5 Herron ES * 96.1% 5 Hewetson ES 90.1% 89.8% 6 Hickey ES * 83.7% 10 Hill ES * 87.9% 9 Hinman ES * 80.1% P6 Hoggard ES 91.1% 94.2% 8 Hollingsworth ES * 91.0% 16 Hughes MS * 87.1% 11 Hummel ES * 78.3% 15 Hyde Park MS 83.6% 88.9% 16 Indian Springs ES * * 16 Indian Springs HS * * 7 Iverson ES 90.6% 90.7% 15 Jacobson ES * * 5 Jeffers ES 94.7% 93.0% ESD Jeffrey Behavior JR/SR * * 15 Johnson JHS 71.5% 82.9% 2 Johnston MS 72.9% 85.0% 12 Jydstr				
5 Hewetson ES 90.1% 89.8% 6 Hickey ES * 83.7% 10 Hill ES * 87.9% 9 Hinman ES * 80.1% P6 Hoggard ES 91.1% 94.2% 8 Hollingsworth ES * 91.0% 16 Hughes MS * 87.1% 11 Hummel ES * 78.3% 15 Hyde Park MS 83.6% 88.9% 16 Indian Springs ES * * 16 Indian Springs MS * * 7 Iverson ES 90.6% 90.7% 15 Jacobson ES * * 5 Jeffers ES 94.7% 93.0% ESD Jeffrey Behavior JR/SR * * 15 Johnston MS 72.9% 85.0% 12 Jydstrup ES 90.0% * 3 Kahre ES 83.4% 91.0% 3 Katz ES				
6 Hickey ES * 83.7% 10 Hill ES * 87.9% 9 Hinman ES * 80.1% P6 Hoggard ES 91.1% 94.2% 8 Hollingsworth ES * 91.0% 16 Hughes MS * 87.1% 11 Hummel ES * 78.3% 15 Hyde Park MS 83.6% 88.9% 16 Indian Springs ES * * 16 Indian Springs HS * * 7 Iverson ES 90.6% 90.7% 15 Jacobson ES * * 5 Jeffers ES 94.7% 93.0% ESD Jeffrey Behavior JR/SR * * 15 Johnson JHS 71.5% 82.9% 2 Johnston MS 72.9% 85.0% 12 Jydstrup ES 90.0% * 3 Kahre ES 83.4% 91.0% 3 Katz ES 88.1% 84.0% 7 Keller ES * 83				
10 Hill ES				
9 Hinman ES		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
P6 Hoggard ES 91.1% 94.2% 8 Hollingsworth ES * 91.0% 16 Hughes MS * 87.1% 11 Hummel ES * 78.3% 15 Hyde Park MS 83.6% 88.9% 16 Indian Springs ES * * 16 Indian Springs MS * * 7 Iverson ES 90.6% 90.7% 15 Jacobson ES * * 5 Jeffers ES 94.7% 93.0% ESD Jeffrey Behavior JR/SR * * 15 Johnson JHS 71.5% 82.9% 2 Johnston MS 72.9% 85.0% 12 Jydstrup ES 90.0% * 3 Kahre ES 83.4% 91.0% 3 Katz ES 88.1% 84.0% 7 Keller ES * 83.7% 7 Keller MS 76.9% 88.5%				
8 Hollingsworth ES * 91.0% 16 Hughes MS * 87.1% 11 Hummel ES * 78.3% 15 Hyde Park MS 83.6% 88.9% 16 Indian Springs ES * * 16 Indian Springs MS * * 7 Iverson ES 90.6% 90.7% 15 Jacobson ES * * 5 Jeffers ES 94.7% 93.0% ESD Jeffrey Behavior JR/SR * * 15 Johnson JHS 71.5% 82.9% 2 Johnston MS 72.9% 85.0% 12 Jydstrup ES 90.0% * 3 Kahre ES 83.4% 91.0% 3 Katz ES 88.1% 84.0% 7 Keller ES * 83.7% 7 Keller MS 76.9% 88.5%	9		*	
16 Hughes MS * 87.1% 11 Hummel ES * 78.3% 15 Hyde Park MS 83.6% 88.9% 16 Indian Springs ES * * 16 Indian Springs MS * * 7 Iverson ES 90.6% 90.7% 15 Jacobson ES * * 5 Jeffers ES 94.7% 93.0% ESD Jeffrey Behavior JR/SR * * 15 Johnson JHS 71.5% 82.9% 2 Johnston MS 72.9% 85.0% 12 Jydstrup ES 90.0% * 3 Kahre ES 83.4% 91.0% 3 Katz ES 88.1% 84.0% 7 Keller ES * 83.7% 7 Keller MS 76.9% 88.5%	P6	Hoggard ES	91.1%	94.2%
11 Hummel ES	8	Hollingsworth ES	*	91.0%
15 Hyde Park MS 83.6% 88.9% 16 Indian Springs ES * * * 16 Indian Springs HS * * 16 Indian Springs MS * * 7 Iverson ES 90.6% 90.7% 15 Jacobson ES * * 5 Jeffers ES 94.7% 93.0% ESD Jeffrey Behavior JR/SR * * 15 Johnson JHS 71.5% 82.9% 2 Johnston MS 72.9% 85.0% 12 Jydstrup ES 90.0% * 3 Kahre ES 83.4% 91.0% 3 Katz ES 88.1% 84.0% 7 Keller ES * 83.7% 7 Keller MS 76.9% 88.5%	16	Hughes MS	*	87.1%
16 Indian Springs ES * * 16 Indian Springs MS * * 16 Indian Springs MS * * 7 Iverson ES 90.6% 90.7% 15 Jacobson ES * * 5 Jeffers ES 94.7% 93.0% ESD Jeffrey Behavior JR/SR * * 15 Johnson JHS 71.5% 82.9% 2 Johnston MS 72.9% 85.0% 12 Jydstrup ES 90.0% * 3 Kahre ES 83.4% 91.0% 3 Katz ES 88.1% 84.0% 7 Keller ES * 83.7% 7 Keller MS 76.9% 88.5%	11	Hummel ES	*	78.3%
16 Indian Springs MS * * 16 Indian Springs MS * * 7 Iverson ES 90.6% 90.7% 15 Jacobson ES * * 5 Jeffers ES 94.7% 93.0% ESD Jeffrey Behavior JR/SR * * 15 Johnson JHS 71.5% 82.9% 2 Johnston MS 72.9% 85.0% 12 Jydstrup ES 90.0% * 3 Kahre ES 83.4% 91.0% 3 Katz ES 88.1% 84.0% 7 Keller ES * 83.7% 7 Keller MS 76.9% 88.5%	15	Hyde Park MS	83.6%	88.9%
16 Indian Springs MS * * 7 Iverson ES 90.6% 90.7% 15 Jacobson ES * * 5 Jeffers ES 94.7% 93.0% ESD Jeffrey Behavior JR/SR * * 15 Johnson JHS 71.5% 82.9% 2 Johnston MS 72.9% 85.0% 12 Jydstrup ES 90.0% * 3 Kahre ES 83.4% 91.0% 3 Katz ES 88.1% 84.0% 7 Keller ES * 83.7% 7 Keller MS 76.9% 88.5%	16	Indian Springs ES	*	*
7 Iverson ES 90.6% 90.7% 15 Jacobson ES * * 5 Jeffers ES 94.7% 93.0% ESD Jeffrey Behavior JR/SR * * 15 Johnson JHS 71.5% 82.9% 2 Johnston MS 72.9% 85.0% 12 Jydstrup ES 90.0% * 3 Kahre ES 83.4% 91.0% 3 Katz ES 88.1% 84.0% 7 Keller ES * 83.7% 7 Keller MS 76.9% 88.5%	16	Indian Springs HS	*	*
15 Jacobson ES * * 5 Jeffers ES 94.7% 93.0% ESD Jeffrey Behavior JR/SR * * 15 Johnson JHS 71.5% 82.9% 2 Johnston MS 72.9% 85.0% 12 Jydstrup ES 90.0% * 3 Kahre ES 83.4% 91.0% 3 Katz ES 88.1% 84.0% 7 Keller ES * 83.7% 7 Keller MS 76.9% 88.5%	16	Indian Springs MS	*	*
15 Jacobson ES 5 Jeffers ES 94.7% 93.0% ESD Jeffrey Behavior JR/SR * * 15 Johnson JHS 71.5% 82.9% 2 Johnston MS 72.9% 85.0% 12 Jydstrup ES 90.0% * 3 Kahre ES 83.4% 91.0% 3 Katz ES 88.1% 84.0% 7 Keller ES * 83.7% 7 Keller MS 76.9% 88.5%	7	Iverson ES	90.6%	90.7%
ESD Jeffrey Behavior JR/SR * * 15 Johnson JHS 71.5% 82.9% 2 Johnston MS 72.9% 85.0% 12 Jydstrup ES 90.0% * 3 Kahre ES 83.4% 91.0% 3 Katz ES 88.1% 84.0% 7 Keller ES * 83.7% 7 Keller MS 76.9% 88.5%	15	Jacobson ES	*	*
15 Johnson JHS 71.5% 82.9% 2 Johnston MS 72.9% 85.0% 12 Jydstrup ES 90.0% * 3 Kahre ES 83.4% 91.0% 3 Katz ES 88.1% 84.0% 7 Keller ES * 83.7% 7 Keller MS 76.9% 88.5%	5	Jeffers ES	94.7%	93.0%
2 Johnston MS 72.9% 85.0% 12 Jydstrup ES 90.0% * 3 Kahre ES 83.4% 91.0% 3 Katz ES 88.1% 84.0% 7 Keller ES * 83.7% 7 Keller MS 76.9% 88.5%	ESD	Jeffrey Behavior JR/SR	*	*
12 Jydstrup ES 90.0% * 3 Kahre ES 83.4% 91.0% 3 Katz ES 88.1% 84.0% 7 Keller ES * 83.7% 7 Keller MS 76.9% 88.5%	15	Johnson JHS	71.5%	82.9%
3 Kahre ES 83.4% 91.0% 3 Katz ES 88.1% 84.0% 7 Keller ES * 83.7% 7 Keller MS 76.9% 88.5%	2	Johnston MS	72.9%	85.0%
3 Katz ES 88.1% 84.0% 7 Keller ES * 83.7% 7 Keller MS 76.9% 88.5%	12	Jydstrup ES	90.0%	*
7 Keller ES * 83.7% 7 Keller MS 76.9% 88.5%	3	Kahre ES	83.4%	91.0%
7 Keller ES * 83.7% 7 Keller MS 76.9% 88.5%	3	Katz ES	88.1%	84.0%
7 Keller MS 76.9% 88.5%	7	Keller ES	*	83.7%
P6 Kelly ES 95.2% *	7	Keller MS	76.9%	88.5%
	P6	Kelly ES	95.2%	*

[&]quot;*" Indicates data not presented for groups fewer than 10.
This data is suppressed due to FERPA regulations.

Goal 4: Increase percentage of parents reporting that they have been informed regarding their child's progress and feel welcome at school.

Performance Zone 2014-15	School Name	2012-13	2013-14
9	Kesterson ES	86.9%	90.5%
12	Kim ES	90.0%	*
9	King, Martha ES	*	89.2%
6	King, Martin ES	*	*
15	Knudson MS	87.8%	91.4%
8	Lake ES	*	*
13	Lamping ES	89.8%	89.2%
15	Las Vegas Acad. HS	87.7%	90.2%
7	Las Vegas HS	88.4%	85.9%
16	Laughlin JR/SR	*	77.9%
12	Lawrence JHS	82.1%	90.0%
4	Leavitt MS	88.7%	91.5%
2	Legacy HS	82.4%	79.1%
11	Liberty HS	82.0%	77.5%
1	Lied MS	79.5%	79.2%
4	Lincoln ES	*	92.3%
7	Long ES	88.1%	90.1%
2	Lowman ES	89.5%	*
3	Lummis ES	85.3%	85.2%
16	Lundy ES	*	*
5	Lunt ES	94.3%	94.3%
4	Lynch ES	*	*
16	Lyon MS	84.5%	82.7%
10	Mack ES	95.2%	90.0%
7	Mack MS	*	89.3%
P6	Mackey ES	92.3%	92.1%
14	Manch ES	*	88.4%
13	Mannion MS	83.1%	87.4%
15	Martin MS	86.8%	90.6%
5	Martinez ES	*	*
1	May ES	86.6%	83.4%
P6	Mc Call ES	93.8%	95.5%
9	Mc Caw ES	92.5%	94.7%
10	McDoniel ES	*	81.1%

[&]quot;*" Indicates data not presented for groups fewer than 10.
This data is suppressed due to FERPA regulations.

Goal 4: Increase percentage of parents reporting that they have been informed regarding their child's progress and feel welcome at school.

Performance			
Zone	School Name	2012-13	2013-14
2014-15			
3	McMillan ES	*	75.2%
8	McWilliams ES	93.4%	96.4%
7	Mendoza ES	94.3%	96.3%
SSD	Miley Ach. JR/SR	*	*
13	Miller MS	92.8%	93.2%
SSD	Miller, John ES	*	*
10	Miller, Sandy ES	88.6%	93.3%
9	Mitchell ES	*	*
16	Moapa Valley HS	79.8%	86.4%
14	Mojave HS	*	89.5%
3	Molasky JHS	88.0%	85.0%
6	Monaco MS	89.3%	92.2%
7	Moore ES	*	81.8%
ESD	Morris Beh JR/SR	*	*
ESD	Morris Sunset East HS	*	*
9	Morrow ES	*	89.9%
14	Mountain View ES	*	*
1	Neal ES	90.3%	85.7%
9	Newton ES	76.9%	87.5%
1	NorthWest CTA ES		*
6	Northwest CTA HS	84.3%	88.5%
12	Ober ES	85.7%	85.8%
14	O'Callaghan MS	84.2%	80.5%
1	O'Roarke ES	87.3%	86.0%
8	Orr MS	96.0%	95.3%
2	Palo Verde HS	84.2%	83.7%
5	Paradise ES	92.0%	91.8%
8	Park ES	*	94.5%
4	Parson ES	56.6%	*
4	Perkins, Dr Claude G ES	89.6%	90.8%
16	Perkins, Ute ES	*	*
5	Petersen ES	90.2%	92.8%
ESD	Peterson Beh JR/SR	*	*
15	Piggott ES	*	*

[&]quot;*" Indicates data not presented for groups fewer than 10.
This data is suppressed due to FERPA regulations.

Goal 4: Increase percentage of parents reporting that they have been informed regarding their child's progress and feel welcome at school.

Performance Zone 2014-15	School Name	2012-13	2013-14
15	Pittman ES	93.8%	94.5%
4	Priest ES	76.8%	*
5	Rancho HS	81.6%	88.1%
15	Red Rock ES	90.3%	91.0%
3	Reed ES	*	*
11	Reedom ES	85.3%	85.2%
9	Reid ES	*	*
1	Rhodes ES	90.4%	82.9%
11	Ries ES	*	92.5%
10	Roberts ES	*	86.6%
6	Robison MS	*	91.5%
12	Rogers ES	94.5%	95.4%
2	Rogich MS	86.1%	87.4%
4	Ronnow ES	*	94.2%
5	Ronzone ES	*	90.3%
14	Roundy ES	90.5%	86.8%
8	Rowe ES	*	*
7	Rundle ES	*	*
16	Sandy Valley JR/SR	*	*
1	Saville MS	84.2%	89.0%
12	Sawyer MS	78.3%	90.1%
1	Scherkenbach ES	86.3%	92.9%
10	Schofield MS	92.8%	92.0%
11	Schorr ES	88.7%	90.8%
2	Scott ES	*	79.8%
4	Sedway MS	76.4%	88.9%
9	Sewell ES	94.8%	92.9%
1	Shadow Ridge HS	70.0%	81.5%
11	Sierra Vista HS	82.8%	87.6%
10	Silverado HS	74.1%	84.4%
11	Silvestri JHS	88.0%	89.7%
2	Simmons ES	81.8%	76.0%
13	Smalley ES	82.4%	85.6%
5	Smith MS	*	94.0%

[&]quot;*" Indicates data not presented for groups fewer than 10.
This data is suppressed due to FERPA regulations.

Goal 4: Increase percentage of parents reporting that they have been informed regarding their child's progress and feel welcome at school.

Performance			
Zone	School Name	2012-13	2013-14
2014-15			
7	Smith, Hal ES	91.9%	89.1%
15	Smith, Helen M ES	89.0%	*
7	Snyder ES	91.5%	92.9%
ESD	So. Continuation HS	*	*
6	Southeast CTA HS	89.8%	92.4%
6	Southwest CTA HS	86.7%	90.4%
ESD	Spring Mtn. JR/SR	*	*
12	Spring Valley HS	88.8%	80.5%
5	Squires ES	*	*
6	Stanford ES	*	*
2	Staton ES	91.8%	94.4%
11	Steele ES	83.7%	89.1%
SSD	Stewart ES	*	*
11	Stuckey ES	*	93.1%
14	Sunrise Acres ES	86.4%	*
14	Sunrise Mtn HS	*	81.9%
ESD	SW Behavior JR/SR	94.4%	*
4	Swainston MS	72.6%	83.3%
11	Tanaka ES	*	84.0%
11	Tarkanian MS	84.3%	85.4%
4	Tarr ES	84.2%	87.1%
2	Tartan ES	*	*
5	Tate ES	*	91.6%
13	Taylor, Glen ES	87.3%	86.4%
9	Taylor, Robert ES	88.7%	91.5%
12	Thiriot ES	84.7%	90.3%
8	Thomas ES	94.1%	91.8%
1	Thompson ES	87.9%	87.9%
10	Thorpe ES	84.9%	*
3	Tobler ES	*	86.7%
8	Tomiyasu ES	90.8%	90.3%
10	Treem ES	90.8%	87.3%
1	Triggs ES	87.1%	92.2%
8	Twin Lakes ES	*	93.1%

[&]quot;*" Indicates data not presented for groups fewer than 10.
This data is suppressed due to FERPA regulations.

Goal 4: Increase percentage of parents reporting that they have been informed regarding their child's progress and feel welcome at school.

Performance Zone	School Name	2012-13	2013-14
2014-15			
13	Twitchell ES	90.2%	90.7%
7	Ullom ES	91.0%	88.0%
8	Valley HS	84.6%	85.6%
13	Vanderburg ES	92.7%	92.8%
SSD	Variety JR/SR	*	*
15	Vegas Verdes ES	91.4%	77.5%
6	Veterans CTA HS	*	92.7%
16	Virgin Valley ES	*	88.7%
16	Virgin Valley HS	85.6%	84.4%
	Virtual HS	77.7%	86.2%
4	Von Tobel MS	92.4%	*
13	Walker ES	81.3%	89.0%
13	Wallin ES	87.6%	90.7%
8	Ward, Gene ES	91.5%	94.4%
1	Ward, Kitty ES	88.6%	90.9%
5	Warren ES	*	96.7%
15	Wasden ES	*	*
ESD	Washington MS	75.9%	92.7%
2	Watson ES	88.4%	82.4%
13	Webb MS	84.2%	90.0%
7	Wengert ES	*	92.1%
6	West CTA HS	90.9%	84.1%
3	West Prep ES	88.1%	*
3	West Prep JR/SR	80.2%	89.7%
14	Western HS	*	85.5%
10	White MS	88.9%	89.9%
9	Whitney ES	92.2%	93.4%
10	Wiener ES	82.5%	87.2%
14	Wilhelm ES	*	92.6%
5	Williams, Tom ES	*	85.7%
P6	Williams, Wendell ES	93.3%	93.4%
1	Wolfe ES	87.3%	93.7%
13	Wolff, Elise ES	89.7%	84.7%
7	Woodbury MS	*	88.0%

[&]quot;*" Indicates data not presented for groups fewer than 10.
This data is suppressed due to FERPA regulations.

Goal 4: Increase percentage of parents reporting that they have been informed regarding their child's progress and feel welcome at school.

Performance Zone 2014-15	School Name	2012-13	2013-14
4	Woolley ES	*	*
11	Wright ES	83.1%	80.4%
14	Wynn ES	97.2%	91.8%