

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES
MINUTES
CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT
SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SCHOOL TRUSTEES
BOND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
ADMINISTRATIVE CENTER, ROOM 466
5100 W. SAHARA AVE., LAS VEGAS, NV 89146

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 2018

11:30 a.m.

Members Present

Davis, Al
Douglass, Theresa
Goynes, Byron
Gurdison, Robert
Konrad, Chad

Lavelle, Eleissa
Lazaroff, Gene
Reynolds, Jacob

Members Absent

Charlton, Patricia
Earl, Debbie
Halsey, Jim
Kubat, Charles
Munford, Harvey

Philpott, Steve

A recording of this meeting can be obtained by contacting the Facilities Division at 702-799-0591.

1.01 FLAG SALUTE.

1.02 ROLL CALL.

Ms. Eleissa Lavelle, Chair, called the meeting to order at 11:35 a.m.

1.03 ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA.

Motion was approved to adopt and accept the November 15, 2018 agenda.

Motion: Goynes Second: Reynolds Vote: Unanimous

2.01 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS.

Mr. Mortenson: Ladies and gentlemen my name is Hal Mortensen. I am the principal at Moapa Valley High School. We were here last month and we're back again. Thank you for your time. As a representative of the SOT team, I have been assigned to express a concern that we have at Moapa Valley High School. I know that when we met last month maybe we were not clear as to what our expectation was that was to address the concern that our campus is wide open. We also know that there needs to be a vulnerability study and we're going to explain that we have our own study already done and we'll share with you that, and then we had a bid that was for \$78,000. I just want to add to the fact that all the high school in Las Vegas are secured. Sunrise, Rancho, Arbor and two others all have an indoor mall so they have limited access points where they come in. And the remaining schools, we have Las Vegas they have an access point but they also have a quad area, again secured. At Moapa Valley High School our quad area is completely wide open to anybody during the school day and so we showed a vehicle coming on to campus, this is our front way as you come in. Here's another picture showing a van being able to come onto our campus. Again our quad area is completely wide open. So Moapa Valley High School and Virgin Valley High

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

2.01 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS. (cont.)

School have the same prototype. Anybody can come in and walk and access any classroom on the outside of the school, meaning that 200 kids are exposed throughout the school day.

Mr. Moses: I am Larry Moses and I am the community advisor for the SOT committee and I'm a 31 year retired employee from CCSD and they dust me off once in a while. I was 13 years administrator and 15 years in the classroom. I was the principal when they built the building. The open concept was wonderful. We loved it. 30 years ago when we built it it was a great idea. Today as you know the situation. Let me give you some idea in differences of security. I came to this building, I walked in the door, the only one you can get in, and I was met by an armed officer. He escorted me to the elevator where I met someone up here. This morning I walked on to my campus. I could have walked on to any part of my campus that I wanted but the big part of the building is secured so you have to go to the office. I walked in and was greeted by two high school girls very cordially, not very threateningly, but very cordially. I would suggest that the open concept is no longer a valid concept. And again, all the high schools are secured with the exception of Moapa High School and Virgin Valley High School. It's very important that we get this place secured one way or another. I'm asking you to come up with the almost \$80,000 that the study we did that showed that's what it would cost us, this study was put together by the district. They said that they wanted us to pay for it out of our instruction money. This is a school necessity. It's a safety issue. We should not be spending the money that comes out of our budget for our kid's books. It needs to come out of capital improvement funds.

Ms. Whitmore: I am Erika Whitmore. Thanks for letting us come back. I'm a member of the SOT team in Moapa Valley High School and I attend a lot of the meetings with the schools out in our valley. Our valley has about 8,000 people in it and at every meeting that I go to school safety is number one. Being on the SOT team we also have a community education advisory board. We meet on a quarterly basis and we talk about issues that we can do to help our schools. We have had metro at our meetings and parents and there's just a lot of concern about safety. We realize obviously that our campus was not secure so metro came in and did a vulnerability study and we can get you that to see where we are open and how we are vulnerable as a school. And then our principal was able to come in and have Redstar come out and give a bid and it ended up being \$78,000 to cover everything so that we could be a complete enclosed school, and then CCSD said that you guys are going to have to take care of it, so then it came back to the SOT team and we were like there's no way we have money for this, are we going to fund raise, how are we going to get this money together? So that's why we're here. We heard that we were supposed to come to talk to you guys to talk about how we can get this taken care of. We are asking you as a security issue because we really need to have our kids safe. Thank you.

Mr. Robison: I'm Vernon Robison and I'm from the local newspaper in Virgin Valley and I also have kids at the high school there. I go to a lot of these meetings and I do see that there's a lot of concern among parents and our local law enforcement officers that we have an open situation there. We have a great community and our community's wonderful, but we found out that it seems our community is the kind that is often targeted. There's a little bit of concern and we're trying to get some proactivity here so that we can catch those before we have a problem like that.

Mr. Polzien: Hi, Tony Polzien, and I'm the very proud assistant principal at Moapa Valley High School. Thank you for allowing us to come back. I also sit on a board so I understand that when you get packets of information sometimes those packets get put away before you're able to

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

2.01 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS. (cont.)

address everything. But just in case I would like to remind you and I do have copies I'd be happy to leave here of the map of our school showing all these access points and the vulnerability study that Mrs. Whitmore that Metropolitan Police Department did stating that there are just too many entry points on our campus and it's not really anything that we have to pay for, we cannot do. Maybe with this new Legislative Session some of that will get fixed, but we really hope that you would consider this request and again hope that we would be more proactive than reactive because as soon as one kid gets hurt because of something like this then it's going to become a bigger problem than it already is. Please I hope that you would take the time to look through these and not just discard why we're here and understand that we're here for the safety of our students. My son goes to school in Henderson but I can promise you these are like my kids, too. I need them and their parents to feel as safe as I do when I send my son to school. Thank you.

3.01 APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES.

Motion to approve the October 18, 2018 minutes with amendments.

Motion: Reynolds

Second: Davis

Vote: Unanimous

3.02 REPORTS BY STAFF AND/OR LIAISON REPRESENTATIVES.

None.

3.03 QUESTIONS REGARDING MONTHLY STATUS REPORTS.

None.

3.04 REPORT BY THE CLARK COUNTY BOARD OF SCHOOL TRUSTEES' LIAISON.

Trustee Garvey: Some of the conversation that's been happening on the board level and tied to our legislative platform looks at some of this. When you start seeing all of these we're over budget or we're anticipating that it's going to cost more we run into the same problem of how are we going to bring down class size. How do we reduce that student to teacher ratio? These are going to be some big challenges that we're going to have to look at as a community and as a board and superintendent to figure out how are we going to make our resources allocated in a way that addresses that ratio and yet and we know we're already having a problem with capacity even with large classroom size. I think we're going to get another phase sooner than we thought. The other piece is some conversations about maintenance and how can we address that because that is another piece of as we invest, and that is part of your charge, how do we keep that investment healthy as far as the building, equipment and everything like that. Unfortunately that's taken a back seat to the actual funding formula for student allocation and I think that's going to be the big thing up at legislature this year is that funding formula and how it's calculated and what that looks like for southern Nevada.

Mr. Lazaroff: What we heard this morning from Moapa Valley they were talking an estimate of \$80,000 to \$100,000 and a lot of these things are repetitive at some of the other schools. They don't have the money to do that. If you group everything in one and make it a capital project, which the money is not here either, something's going to have to be bumped, or many things will have to be bumped.

Trustee Garvey: Right and I think, Mr. Neal you can speak to this, because the board had asked to do a landscape view of what are our needs.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

- 3.04 REPORT BY THE CLARK COUNTY BOARD OF SCHOOL TRUSTEES' LIAISON. (cont.)
Mr. Neal: I totally concur and that's what we were having the conversation out in the hallway about. It has to be a comprehensive view. I understand that they had metro come out. I understand their perspective. You have different threats in different places. You have a huge indirect threat of violence to students when the activity isn't even directed towards students but it's going on in the neighborhood. Now you have them in an unapproved building or not a brick and mortar building. We want to make sure that with the limited resources because we don't know what we're going to get from the state, we know we're having challenges within this program that when we do allocate those resources we are actually addressing the highest risks across the entire district.

Trustee Garvey: So until we know what all the need is and the levels it's difficult to package that. One of the pieces in talking with Ms. Whitmore is can we raise that money. I said I believe you can but there still are protocols that you have to go through with our facilities and our purchasing to make sure that the work and the people doing the work fall within our protocols. The last thing we want to do is put up a safety structure that then falls down and kills two or three kids.

Mr. Cumbers: To that point, a number of schools have self-funded similar projects at their schools, gates, small fences, usually not \$80,000 or \$100,000 but smaller projects where they've added a gate to better control the access.

Mr. Lazaroff: How does this affect the schools that are under construction right now in terms of not having those security features?

Mr. Cumbers: Every school that's under construction right now is designed with the same security features.

Ms. Douglass: I know that I'm at an elementary school and I'm in an area that's not at risk but it's always in the forefront of your thoughts every day, the security of your kids. And I know that we did raise money for gates, fences, but we're able to. This year we got the tinted windows that make a huge difference. We went through the district we went through all the proper protocols and we placed those. The thing is the budgets are so tight this year. I have \$69,000 to run an 830 students school, that's your toilet tissue, your paper towels, your wax on your floor, your supplies, that's everything. You can't even run a small family situation on \$69,000. But the thing is, we do have to go to legislature. I've really enacted my SOT team and my community. We're taking a very active stance to get money to fund schools in different areas. One was safety. Every school should have that tinted glass on it so they can't see our kids walking down hallways or staff members. You can do those minor changes but if you're at an at risk school you're not going to be able to self-fund money for safety. So I do believe in priority areas. Recognizing those areas that are at high impact or the community around it mostly that impacts that campus. I do believe in that priority system.

Mr. Lazaroff: That addresses the break in or somebody penetrating the security barrier but I think a bigger threat is to kids that are leaving at the end of school in mass is a high vulnerable target. Probably more so than getting into the school.

- 3.05 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CIP) MAJOR PROJECT UPDATES.

Mr. Cumbers: I'm about to give you an update on the 2015 Capital Improvement Program and we're focusing on the major projects. The first slide is a summary of the projects that have been

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

3.05 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CIP) MAJOR PROJECT UPDATES. (cont.) approved and our current program budget is at \$4,125,000,000. The value of the currently approved projects that have been brought before the BOC as well as the BOST is currently \$4,624,260,000. We're pointing out here that we are over budget by approximately \$500,000,000 and therefore we're going to have to make some adjustments and we'll be bringing back to you specific suggestions for projects for you to consider either delaying, removing, or value engineering. So that we can get back within our budget. Because of the rising costs of construction our approved projects are currently over budget. Chapata Drive and Casady Hollow Boulevard we're still in negotiation with the City of Henderson (COH) over the interlocal agreement (ILA) that has not been resolved. We are down to one sentence in the ILA which ultimately I believe the Board of School Trustees (BOST) and the City Council of Henderson are going to consider how to resolve and ultimately approve an ILA so we can get started on that school.

Mr. Lazaroff: Blake what's the issue, you said one line?

Mr. Cumbers: I can't articulate the specific line. It's in Mr. Neal's court right now. Perhaps when Mr. Neal comes back in the room we can revisit this item. It has to do with the capacity of the school. Broadbent Boulevard and East Russell Road we're still working with the county on acquisition of that site. I would turn to Linda to be more specific about what's going on there but basically they want us to build some soccer fields that they need for recreation in that area as a compensation for the land. Is that right Linda?

Ms. Perri: Correct. So the county would go and do appraisals and they're going to come back with fair market value price. Once they have that price, say its \$3,000,000 we would build up to \$3,000,000 for the fields.

Mr. Cumbers: Here's Mr. Neal. We had a discussion about Chapata and Casady and the one line of the ILA that we're struggling with to get this issue resolved. I expressed that you might be able to articulate what that line basically says.

Mr. Neal: The line that we are talking about here comes to the termination of the agreement, the covenant as they put it into the ILA. As it was stated initially it basically stated that if the district violated the covenant there were these penalties that went along with refunding the in kind contribution and things of that nature. The flip side of that coin is that if the jurisdiction actually makes a decision the changes or requirements of that school that requires more students in that school, the covenant has been violated so that we can move away from that without a penalty to the district. They are not agreeing with that portion of it. So I can't in good conscience take to the board an ILA that boxes them in like that and doesn't really put us in a partnership. I've been directed to contact the city manager because I've been working with a lower level of staff just to see if we can get this thing moving forward. We have proposed three different versions of the language and we've gotten "No we don't like that language." I've asked them to give me what they prefer. So that's where we are.

Mr. Cumbers: There will be a ground breaking scheduled for J.D.Smith on November 28th at 2:00 p.m.

Ms. Monette: You'll be getting an invitation via email.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

3.05 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CIP) MAJOR PROJECT UPDATES. (cont.)

Some discussion continued.

Ms. Lavelle: Just for anybody that doesn't know, this will be my last meeting as chairman of the BOC and indeed probably on BOC unless there's some move to keep me on in some capacity. It's up to the Trustees and the Superintendent. I am now General Council for the school district.

3.06 2018 ENGINEERING SELECTION LIST.

Mr. Cumbers: What we've given you as reference material is the engineer list that we're going to take to the BOST with your recommendation. There are a number of firms that did not submit to be on the list this time. All firms that asked to be put on the list in the categories of civil engineering, electrical engineering, electrical engineers, mechanical engineers, plumbing engineers, constructional engineers were all added to the list. This is more of an information item but we ask that we take it to the BOST for their approval.

Motion to approve 2018 Engineering Selection List.

Motion: Reynolds

Second: Goynes

Vote: Unanimous

3.07 SOUTHEAST CAREER AND TECHNICAL ACADEMY-PROJECT UPDATE.

Mr. Cumbers: This is an update on Southeast Career and Technical Academy (SECTA) that was a major project for us. It was a phased replacement school and I would like to walk you through elements of the project in terms of their scope and the costs of the project so that you can understand that this, too, will be part of the overall projects that we bring back to you for consideration to adjust the scheduled projects the approved projects as related to the budget. The original Phase 2 scope was classroom additions, culinary, graphics, health services, administrative and library. An additional scope of the project was approved by the BOST on June 21, 2017 and this was to add classroom capacity for an additional 250 students and also approved was a new gymnasium. The current costs for buildings A, B, C, H and site work and the new gymnasium is \$92,096,282.04 against the budget that we currently have for this project at \$78,750,000.00. This is a discrepancy in the projected cost of the classroom building as well as the gymnasium. We needed to bring it back to you because it's a material difference in the budget and subsequently to the BOST so they can consider it. I'm sure that when we bring it back to you and to the BOST an option will be to delay the gymnasium and to continue using the current gymnasium and delay the new gymnasium. The SECTA gym was unfortunately built on a fault line which wasn't very well understood when they built the gym. Our recommendation is not to do anything with the existing gym other than to use it right now until we build a new gymnasium. The SECTA gym issues are code issues, the fault line with active fissures, site work underground at fault line eroding, site work deteriorated, roof deterioration/leaking, electrical, plumbing, mechanical and low voltage. For the new gym which will likely be delayed we'd have to demolish the existing gym and build a new one with all of the facilities of a modern gymnasium. We're projecting that the project will cost close to \$19,000,000.00. Calling your attention to building E, this is one of the oldest buildings on the campus. It's been expanded numerous times and has extensive issues in the building, in fact, parts of the building are currently unoccupied. We've had to exclude staff and students from actually going into those areas of the building because they are so bad. More recently we had a major sewer line underneath that building collapse. We're in the process of repairing that now because the nurse's office and the restrooms were not usable. The cost to replace this building is over \$23,000,000.00.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

3.07 SOUTHEAST CAREER AND TECHNICAL ACADEMY-PROJECT UPDATE. (cont.)
and you would have to do significant upgrades on the site and also work on landscaping irrigation and utilities. So that would constitute a later phase for the SECTA project.

Mr. Goynes: I was looking at your pictures in building E, these are the ones that some portions are condemned but they look similar to those in the existing gym. Is that gym being used out of compliance because there's no other way to conduct activities?

Mr. Cumbers: No, we can use the building. There are issues with the building that are out of compliance with today's building codes. Older buildings that have issues, that don't meet modern building code but can still be used because they met that code at the time they were built. This is unfortunate and that's why we have such a large need, \$10 Billion, that's estimated over the ten year program and we only have \$4.1 Billion.

Trustee Garvey: What was taking place in building E and how have they adapted to serve their students by not using building E?

Mr. Cumbers: They use building E but portions of it they cannot use. So that's where cosmetology is, the woodworking shop, the refrigeration, auto body.

Mr. Wagner: Auto body portion is not being used right now. They have a robotics program, an automotive program, cosmetology is still using the space, architecture, wood shop, and photography. Portions of the building have been shut down by risk management. So they've gone in and done a risk assessment and said these spaces pose such a risk that they should not be occupied any longer. Our presentation today doesn't necessarily advocate that we replace building E right now. It advocates that sometime in the future building E should be considered for replacement and the estimated cost to replace that building with two separate buildings that are actually less square footage is approximately \$23,000,000.00.

Ms. Lavelle: So are you looking for a recommendation here or possible action?

Mr. Cumbers: We just wanted to bring this forward so you understood it and have more detail of what we're facing in terms of the budget. Why we are having to delay aspects of phased replacements and perhaps whole projects that might have to be delayed in order to do the projects that are already in design or already in construction because those costs are increasing. The only alternative you do have would be allocating funds from the other categories like HVAC renovation, roofs, plumbing, and things like that. There's a list of hundreds of those projects that are in that category. This is crisis. We're getting to a tipping point where the school district has not had adequate funds for an extended period of time. We're seeing deterioration or aging of our facilities that we have no sources to rectify. We have 38,000,000 square foot of buildings and they have a value of \$21 Billion and by all the standards that we're able to find we should have a level of investment in these buildings many times more than what we're currently putting into it and I'm not talking about debt or bond fund, I'm talking about cash flow into the district to do things like carpet and all those things throughout these schools that needs to be replaced. We can't afford what we already own let alone build what we need to build.

More discussion continued.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

3.08 QUESTIONS ON AND/OR REMOVAL OF ITEMS ON MOTIONS AND TASKINGS.

Ms. Lavelle: Let's first talk about removal of anything on the Motions and Taskings list. Anybody? Hearing none, anything to be added to Motions and Taskings?

Mr. Reynolds: I'm not sure if this would be better as a motions and taskings or an agenda item but I was hoping to get an update on going into the next legislative session what the school district's goals are if any to remedy part or all of the funding problem that we see?

Trustee Garvey: We have two bills and that's not part of it. We will be having a platform of general topic areas where we will have a stance or an opinion so that our lobbying team, when they're talking to legislatures can say this is how CCSD either supports this, is against this, or is neutral on these issues. I do believe maintenance is on that particular thing. It's supposed to come back to us in January. I really think during that conversation we probably need to have some information on this particular topic so that the board can put forward opinions on where they think that need and support level has to happen. I know that with the SAGE committee there was a lot of talk about you guys spend too much money on constructing your things, but I know even with some of the charters they have major issues almost immediately after constructing buildings to where they are falling apart much faster than ours are falling apart. In 3-5 years they are going to be in the same situation that we are. I think that definitely needs to be the issue. Part of our public relations problem is that CCSD always has their hand out.

Ms. Lavelle: So in terms of putting this on motions and taskings or the agenda, is it a presentation that you want?

Mr. Reynolds: Yes, or if it's better for me to just come talk somebody in the government affairs branch. Since the last legislative session I've been saying that there needs to be a plan for this legislative session. The last time we got additional funding was the 2015 cycle when they did the bond trick and let us go get the bond. But there's just no way to overcome, the deficit is increasing and it's billions of dollars. It certainly is not going to take care of itself.

Trustee Garvey: So maybe at that January meeting we also have a joint meeting or representatives from this committee come and speak face to face with the board about your concerns on this particular issue. Then as the board has its conversation with government affairs and consulting group that can be part of that discussion and possibly it may ask some of you to get involved with conversations with those legislatures to give testimony.

Ms. Lavelle: So would that be sooner than later? Let me ask a question in terms of the timing of this thing. If you're talking about a joint meeting and the legislature starts fairly quickly.

Trustee Garvey: I think our thing will be the first meeting in January is when I believe President Wright was going to put it on the work session possibly. The first work session is the first Wednesday during the day. Maybe it would be better to have 2 or 3 of your people to come and have direct conversation with the board.

Ms. Lavelle: Why don't we move this off motions and taskings if that works for everybody and we can move on to agenda planning and to figure out if the whole board wants to put this on the

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

3.08 QUESTIONS ON AND/OR REMOVAL OF ITEMS ON MOTIONS AND TASKINGS. (cont.)
agenda and perhaps we can talk about doing what Trustee Garvey is talking about either a joint meeting or a representative group going to the work session.

3.09 AGENDA PLANNING: ITEMS FOR FUTURE AGENDAS.

Ms. Lavelle: So in terms of agenda planning does the board want to put anything on the agenda and it would be for informational purposes only or do you want to wait until after this work session happens?

Mr. Reynolds: My concern is that the bill draft requests (BDR's) are on their way so unless we have somebody like the governor who backs it. It doesn't sound like we have a draft request to address the budget deficit.

Trustee Garvey: It's on our platform of things that are of importance and need. We only get two BDR's we didn't use it for that.

Ms. Douglass: I was going to ask Trustee Garvey, we just sent out a survey to the parents yesterday for budget concerns. Is that part of the study that's going on for the district as well as legislature?

Trustee Garvey: We'll take that into consideration but a lot of it is the board and our superintendent working with our government affairs team and legislatures. Later on today I have the Government Affairs committee that the superintendent put together. We are working with legislatures on both sides of the aisles, plus our unions as we go forward looking at our funding requests and our political agenda, looking for partners to try to move along those things that are really important. I will say that most of our conversations have focused around student funding and classroom environment. I will bring this up today in that meeting. If you decide one way or another at the meeting at the end of this month I can ask the board to make a formal request to have you on our agenda for January work session.

Ms. Lavelle: How does that sound? Is that what you're thinking about?

Mr. Reynolds: Yes, that's fine if that's when it's going to be discussed. That's where I would like to be informed.

Some discussion continued.

Ms. Lavelle: So what I'm hearing then is that you, the trustees, might be amiable to having a small group of people at a work session as opposed to a joint meeting with the entire board, does that work for you?

Trustee Garvey: I don't know if you guys can all make it at that time frame.

Ms. Lavelle: We probably should designate a committee to participate and in terms of putting this on the agenda coming back for the January meeting after this work session to have something on the agenda that will be devoted to a discussion of what the legislative plan is. Does that make sense to everybody? So that there's information for those that don't participate in the work session

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

3.09 AGENDA PLANNING: ITEMS FOR FUTURE AGENDAS. (cont.)

and no obligation for the entire board to participate in the work session but an invitation from the trustees and we can establish however many people would want to go.

Trustee Garvey: And what I'll do today is I will voice these concerns at the committee of government affairs and talk to the superintendent and he may choose to have either government affairs staff or himself reach out to have a conversation with two or three members of this committee.

Ms. Lavelle: Why don't we see who is going to be involved as a sub-committee that would attend the work session by show of hands? Mr. Gurdison, Mr. Konrad, Mr. Goynes, Mr. Davis and Mr. Reynolds raised their hands. We will also include Mr. Halsey. We can't have a quorum.

Trustee Garvey: We can we just have to do a joint meeting.

Ms. Lavelle: So a work session will have to be less than eight.

Trustee Garvey: If you're just one of the agenda items, if it's more than your quorum then we do a joint meeting where we open your meeting and our meeting is open at the same time.

Ms. Lavelle: I think the work session might be more productive, right?

Mr. Lazaroff: Work session without the quorum of the whole BOC will build in more delay.

Trustee Garvey: No. Our meeting's scheduled already. If you're less than a quorum we don't have to post for your meeting. You're just coming to ours and you're an agenda item. If you have a quorum of your people and you're coming to our work session then we have to post that you will be having a special meeting of the BOC in connection with the BOST.

Mr. Lazaroff: And then it has to come back to a formal meeting of the BOC at some later date. What I'm saying is it's building in delay.

Ms. Lavelle: I think the work session is an exchange of information there's no action item.

Trustee Garvey: But what could happen then is if as long as those things are worded right then as we have the conversation the board could ask this committee to do something.

Ms. Lavelle: So here's what we need to do. We have two possibilities, not mutually exclusive I guess. One is to have a group of members of this committee attend the work session with the trustees before the January meeting. It would have to be a group of people less than a quorum. So let's get a motion that we engage in that activity.

Motion: To have a group of members of this committee attend the work session with the trustees before the January meeting.

Motion: Reynolds

Second: Davis

Vote: Unanimous

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

3.09 AGENDA PLANNING: ITEMS FOR FUTURE AGENDAS. (cont.)

Ms. Lavelle: In addition to that there is a discussion about whether or not we do a joint meeting with the BOST in January. So is there a motion to have a joint meeting with the trustees at one of their meetings in January?

Ms. Garvey: The only reason you would want to do that is if you want to take action on something.

Mr. Reynolds: I don't think there's anything we can take action on anyway we only have recommendations.

Ms. Lavelle: But never the less it's come up I just want to make sure that if there's a motion I want to give people an opportunity to have a motion and a second. No? So we're not going there.

Mr. Reynolds: When is the work session?

Trustee Garvey: January 9th at 8:00 a.m. at the Flamingo building.

Ms. Monette: We'll send information to the folks that are attending. I just wanted to also make a point. Last board meeting on November 8th there was a draft list of legislative priorities so we can make that available to you so that you have a draft.

Ms. Lavelle: Could you provide that to everybody?

Ms. Monette: Yes. It's public information. We'll make that available to everyone.

Trustee Garvey: And your general consensus is concern over the level of maintenance need and the capital funding that is limited.

Ms. Lavelle: The half a billion dollar cost over run on construction that is already underway. We're hearing about needs that cannot possibly be met and the \$6,000,000,000.00 shortfall.

Trustee Garvey: Just make sure that the people you send are all saying the message you agreed to.

Ms. Lavelle: Anything else to go under agenda planning? No? Do I have a motion to adjourn?

Motion to adjourn meeting.

Motion: Davis

Second: Goynes

Vote: Unanimous

Ms. Lavelle: Thank you all it's been a pleasure being on this committee. Hold it. There's one more? Sorry about that. We're not adjourning. Can I get a motion to withdraw?

Withdrawal of motion to adjourn meeting.

Motion: Reynolds

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

4.01 COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND ASSOCIATE SUPERINTENDENT COMMUNICATION.

Mr. Cumbers: There's a couple of items I wanted to make you aware of, things that are going on in the background but you should be aware of. The superintendent was in the midst of creating a strategic plan. He's been here a short time as you know but he's now deep into creating a strategic plan for the school district and we haven't been shy about bringing forward the needs of the maintenance and facilities and grounds and custodians in order to maintain our buildings. I just wanted to make you aware of that. Currently the Council of Great City Schools this year are doing an analysis of the facilities division as well as other departments in Mr. Neal's areas. They are analyzing these functions to give a report to the superintendent about the view of several chief operating officers from large school districts of what we do and we will bring back to you a presentation of the results when they issue their report. So you'll see what the view is of other chief operating officers are of our division.

Another item is we're doing a cost comparison of our schools to charter schools and also to schools in other states in the cost of construction, and we're also doing a comparison of the items that we currently build into our schools that we could potentially value engineer out of those schools. So we could on an ad hoc basis with support of the administration or the teaching and learning division, remove things that they don't consider to be absolutely necessary from the ed specs that we currently build to.

Lastly Mr. Neal wanted to give you some remarks he had attended a safety committee meeting. He had promised to come back and give you his remarks on the status of that.

Mr. Neal: First point of clarification is the strategic plan is a combination of the BOST and the superintendent, that's what staff is working on so just wanted to make that clarification. On the safety committee I attended the meeting on after our BOC on the 19th of October. The safety committee is divided into three sub-committees, one on prevention and intervention, one on community outreach and then an infra-structure one which is what we're talking about here infra-structures as well as structures. Their intent is to brief out to the superintendent and then eventually the BOST in December, and that's when we can expect to see the report come back here. It will be divided into those three areas. Their expectations, what you can expect to see, many of them will be administrative, i.e., no fiscal impact but there will be some significant ones on physical structures, recommendations. So we're trying to get to where we can get some recommendations on what we would do with capital across the district. During their last meeting which I was not able to attend they actually brought in a consultant or a company that specializes in vulnerability assessments for school districts. I did not hear how that conversation went but I just wanted you to know that we're moving down that road so that we can get that assessment done and move the work forward.

Ms. Lavelle: I have a couple of questions with regard to this assessment you're talking about. Is this going to be completed in a way that we can have this report on the agenda?

Mr. Neal: The vulnerability assessment is done. The results will come back to the district so yes we could actually report those out. The results of the assessment will be interesting if not compelling. What we would use those for is to inform the conversation and the decisions that's made by the board as to how we're going to allocate resources to address these issues because what you should come out with is a risk and a list of vulnerabilities that correspond to those risks. Which

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

- 4.01 COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND ASSOCIATE SUPERINTENDENT COMMUNICATION. (cont.)
ones are we going to get after first? The vulnerability assessment in and of itself while it's being conducted wouldn't come to this body. The results of it and the recommendations that we would be trying to take to the board on what we should do about it would be one of the ones, especially the ones that have to do with structures.

Ms. Lavelle: So the folks of Moapa have been here twice so that's part of what you are analyzing and what would come back to this committee for an agenda item in the future when it's completed?

Mr. Neal: Correct. That would be my expectation so that you can make the recommendation to the board.

Some discussion continued.

- 5.01 PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS.

Ms. Lavelle: Any other questions? We do have a requirement on our agenda for additional public comment. Is there anyone here who would like to speak on a non-agenda item? No? Okay. Now the motion to adjourn.

- 6.0 ADJOURN.

Motion to adjourn meeting.

Motion: Lazaroff

Second: Davis

Vote: Unanimous

Meeting adjourned at 1:11 p.m.